FILED DATE:February 7, 2025 TIME: 02/07/2025 4:05:22 PM

WAKE COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES OFFICE

STATE OF NORTH BAROLTNA IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE COUNTY OF WAKE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 24CV040619-910

Jefferson Griffin,			)	
	]	Petitioner	)	
vs.			)	
			)	
North Carolina State Board of			)	
Elections,			)	ORDER
	]	Respondent	)	
	and		)	
Allison Riggs,			)	
	Intervenor-Re	espondent	)	

THIS CAUSE WAS HEARD by the undersigned at the February 7, 2025 term of Wake County Superior Court upon Petitioner's petition for judicial review of a final decision by the North Carolina State Board of Elections dismissing one category of protest of the 2024 general election for Seat 6 of the North Carolina Supreme Court (the "Never Resident" category). The Court has carefully considered *de novo* the entire record, the written and oral arguments of counsel, the written arguments of *amici curiae*, and the proffered and other relevant authority. The Court concludes as a matter of law that the Board"s decision was not in violation of constitutional provisions, was not in excess of statutory authority or jurisdiction of the agency, was made upon lawful procedure, and was not affected by other error of law.

NOW THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, the Court concludes that the decision of the North Carolina State Board of Elections should be, and hereby is, affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED this the 7th day of February, 2025.

William R. Pittman Superior Court Judge FILED DATE:February 7, 2025 TIME: 02/07/2025 4:32:05 PM

WAKE COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES OFFICE

STATE OF NORTH BAROLTNA IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE COUNTY OF WAKE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 24CV040620-910

Jefferson Griffin,			)	
		Petitioner	)	
VS.			)	
			)	
North Carolina Sta	ate Board of		)	
Elections,			)	ORDER
		Respondent	)	
	and		)	
Allison Riggs,			)	
	Intervenor-R	espondent	)	

THIS CAUSE WAS HEARD by the undersigned at the February 7, 2025 term of Wake County Superior Court upon Petitioner's petition for judicial review of a final decision by the North Carolina State Board of Elections dismissing one category of protest of the 2024 general election for Seat 6 of the North Carolina Supreme Court (the "Incomplete Voter Registrations" category). The Court has carefully considered *de novo* the entire record, the written and oral arguments of counsel, the written arguments of *amici curiae*, and the proffered and other relevant authority. The Court concludes as a matter of law that the Board's decision was not in violation of constitutional provisions, was not in excess of statutory authority or jurisdiction of the agency, was made upon lawful procedure, and was not affected by other error of law.

NOW THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, the Court concludes that the decision of the North Carolina State Board of Elections should be, and hereby is, affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED this the 7th day of February, 2025.

William R. Pittman Superior Court Judge

**FILED** DATE: February 7, 2025 TIME: 02/07/2025 4:20:17 PM

WAKE COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES OFFICE

STATE OF NORTH BAROLTN WOOD IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE COUNTY OF WAKE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 24CV040622-910

Jefferson Griffin,		)
	Petitioner	)
vs.		)
		)
North Carolina State Board	)	
Elections,		) ORDER
	Respondent	)
and		)
Allison Riggs,		)
Interven	or-Respondent	)

THIS CAUSE WAS HEARD by the undersigned at the February 7, 2025 term of Wake County Superior Court upon Petitioner's petition for judicial review of a final decision by the North Carolina State Board of Elections dismissing one category of protest of the 2024 general election for Seat 6 of the North Carolina Supreme Court (the "Lack of Photo Identification for Overeas Voters" category). The Court has carefully considered de novo the entire record, the written and oral arguments of counsel, the written arguments of amici curiae, and the proffered and other relevant authority. The Court concludes as a matter of law that the Board's decision was not in violation of constitutional provisions, was not in excess of statutory authority or jurisdiction of the agency, was made upon lawful procedure, and was not affected by other error of law.

NOW THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, the Court concludes that the decision of the North Carolina State Board of Elections should be, and hereby is, affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED this the 7th day of February, 2025.

William R. P**í**ttman Superior Court Judge